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Collaboration is essential for semiconductor companies today. Nowhere is this more true than supply chain integrations for outsourced production processes. Semiconductor companies and their suppliers need to exchange information as seamlessly as possible to ensure timely and accurate inventory production. This article looks more deeply into this topic from three unique perspectives. The semiconductor company, the supplier and the supply chain provider all add their unique voices to this topic. The three companies collaborated in the supply chain integration for the semiconductor company.

This type of information exchange collaboration benefits from understanding each perspective on three questions: (a) What are your goals for the integration? (b) What tips do you have for effective partnering? (c) Can you apply these goals and tips to a sample project implementation?

Participants Overview
The three companies supporting this article include a fabless semiconductor company, an assembly and test provider and a fabless semiconductor industry supply chain management (SCM) provider.

What are Your Goals for Supply Chain Integration?

Fabless Semiconductor Company
An accurate and up-to-date view of work in process (WIP) and activity to support planning and customer fulfillment is needed. Meeting finance department requirements for procurement management, transaction traceability and inventory tracking to manage expenses and to value inventory are also needed. Without supply chain integration, information is entered manually, which delays insight and introduces possible human error. With the supply chain integration, the information comes straight from the supplier’s system and is pre-processed by the SCM provider and is then checked by our operations team before being allowed into its system.

Assembly and Test Provider
Data integration and reporting are viewed as a high priority service to customers, and a centralized data warehouse for all worldwide operations is key and requires significant investment. There are external and internal goals for this investment. These include providing customers with near real-time high quality production data that supports their internal business process and helps them reduce costs and increase productivity. Internally, this should be done as repeatably and efficiently as possible. Custom integrations for each customer slow the ability to meet customer goals, increase internal costs and can impact the quality of the data since non-standard processes are used in customized routines. One preference includes implementing business-to-business (B2B) reporting using an industry standard such as RosettaNet, or a data warehouse-driven customer portal and data repository.

SCM Provider
SCM integration should be approached as a service. While there is technology involved with the delivery, the customer goal is the ongoing successful operation of the SCM integration, rather than software deployment. Responding and addressing any issues that arise, no matter what the source of the issue is necessary. All goals relate to the quality of the service provided and the effectiveness and efficiency of the team in providing the service. Like the assembly and
What Tips Do You Have for Effective Partnering?

Fabless Semiconductor Company
The most important learning experiences revolve around the importance of communication at every step of the process. In the beginning it is important to establish basic definitions. It may appear clear what good outs, yield loss and vendor loss are, but data definitions – even for fairly common information in some cases – are not universal. Supplier billing might be based on one step in the process, while the production receipt from supply chain integration might be from another step. The number of outs from these steps might be the same most of the time, but when discrepancies occur, it is very difficult to figure out the reason why. In addition, the procedures for common exceptions like reversals, where lots are sent back to previous steps or are merged and re-worked, need to be clearly defined so that the factory, supplier headquarters, supplier billing and the fabless company are in agreement about how to handle these occurrences.

Assembly and Test Provider
It is very helpful to identify and involve all stakeholders who will be directly or indirectly impacted by the B2B integration project. For this integration, the list included the assembly and test provider’s IT, factory, finance and sales teams; the semiconductor company’s planning, operations and finance teams and the SCM provider’s technical project team. This let us train stakeholders on how the B2B integration works: What are the key triggers? What is the critical data for reporting? How is the data being applied in the customer system? Once stakeholders are trained, they will understand how a change in process (manufacturing or billing) can impact the B2B integration and notify others of changes. Additionally, we are looking to develop test plans and scenarios that will validate that all requirements have been met during User Acceptance Testing (UAT).

Fabless Semiconductor Company
The amount of different UAT test cases was not expected at the start of our project – but we see how it is a critical part of ensuring the entire process works as needed. Beyond basic process and data definitions, it is important to establish the timing of when suppliers are to send transactions through their B2B feed. This has been an issue with many assembly and test suppliers at one time or another. Examples of timing challenges include the supplier sending invoices a few days before the supply chain integration data is sent, or sending test transaction data before the assembly data, or holding back on production data until after shipment or even sending no data at all unless requested each time.

SCM Provider
The tips above on specific communication topics are very important, including UAT. A project plan or task list based on best-practices, an ongoing commitment from decision makers, as well as the doers among the stakeholders, and the need to leverage a best-practices approach to the implementation are also necessary. Deviation from best-practices may be required – but should be explained and approved. While it may appear obvious, busy schedules and executive focus are not always easy to obtain. At the same time, getting all parties involved at the appropriate times remains critical for an efficient and effective supply chain. These projects are not massive efforts with hundreds of tasks, but they do require coordination among multiple teams in multiple time zones – all with multiple demands on their time.

Assembly and Test Provider
Any new business processes or data input requirements should be addressed ahead of the B2B integration project (i.e. change in customer part numbers to be reported or a new service being introduced) since this type of effort can be time-consuming and negatively impact the B2B project schedule. If such changes cannot be made ahead of the B2B integration project, then these activities should be planned for in the B2B Integration project plan.

Fabless Semiconductor Company
A third important communication requirement is the need for a clear escalation procedure – not just for the semiconductor company – but for the SCM and assembly and test providers as well, when problems with the supply chain integration occur. A daily e-mailed dashboard that shows what vendor files were processed without issues the night before, as well as those that may have failed, should be provided by the SCM Provider. With this daily e-mailed dashboard, both the fabless company and the SCM provider will know that there is an issue and to investigate. Knowing who to contact to quickly address the issue keeps the collaboration operational.

SCM Provider
Our final tip is to stress the importance of visibility in supplier data quality and successful B2B integrations. Integration quality can suffer from a lack of internal focus on the quality and repeatability of SCM integrations and perceived value at the executive level of collaboration. It is often amazing to see the impact of small changes, such as adding supply chain integration quality to the semiconductor quarterly supplier review meetings. Knowing what is important helps all of the collaborators deliver!

Sample Project Implementation
The integration project outlined above occurred at the end of 2011 and the beginning of 2012. The goals and tips above were applied during the implementation. This list of tasks was addressed by each collaborator to achieve a successful B2B integration:
Assembly and Test Provider:

- Continue to monitor the B2B integration in live operation.

Supply Chain Integration:

- Initiate the request with the SCM and assembly and test provider and send out 3-way non-disclosure agreement (NDA).
- Analyze semiconductor company-specific requirements.
- Initiate kick-off meeting to go over requirements with the SCM and assembly and test provider and to give background to all parties involved. Reach agreement on timeline and deliverables.
- Monitor the SCM and assembly and test provider progress to initiate the B2B links.
- Send out sample purchase orders for UAT with test cases and ask for B2B reporting to be uploaded to file transfer protocol (FTP) site.
- Ask SCM provider to process sample information.
- Perform UAT, provide feedback and repeat with the SCM and assembly and test providers until satisfied.
- Ask SCM Provider to deploy to production.
- Help define required data and training on the required system processes.
- Supply best-practices knowledge and templates to the process.
- Deploy the required software tools.
- Support accurate definition of the required information to the assembly and test provider's team.
- Focus on data quality first and the supportability of the resulting integrations.
- Complete required data transformation from the assembly and test provider's format to support the SCM system.
- Support the semiconductor company's testing and UAT processes.
- Support the semiconductor company's testing and UAT processes.
- Continue to monitor and support operational B2B integration.
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